

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated August 8, 2006. Non-elected claims 17-19 have been canceled, without prejudice in view of the Restriction Requirement. Claim 3 has also been canceled, and its subject matter added to claim 1. New claims 20-21 have been added. Thus, claims 1-2, 4-16 and 20-21 are now pending.

Claim 1

Claim 1 stands rejected under Section 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by Shiratsuki (US 5,313,055). This Section 102(b) rejection is respectfully traversed for at least the following reasons.

Claim 1 as amended requires *light reflecting means, different than the information object, for reflecting light emitted toward the image display side from the image display section, which functions as the image-information-detecting light source, toward an image information detection side.*

Shiratsuki fails to disclose or suggest “light reflecting means, different than the information object, for reflecting light emitted toward the image display side from the image display section, which functions as the image-information-detecting light source, toward an image information detection side” as required by claim 1. The object cannot be the claimed reflecting means as alleged on page 4 of the Office Action because claim 1 expressly states that the reflecting means is different than the object. Shiratsuki is entirely unrelated to the invention of claim 1 in this regard.

Claim 20

Claim 20 requires *a light shield film is provided between the image information detection section and the self-luminous image display section.* For example and without limitation, Fig. 2

IZUMI
Appl. No. 10/679,372
December 8, 2006

of the instant application illustrates light shield film 170 provided between the image information detection section 130 and the self-luminous image display section 120. The cited art fails to disclose or suggest this feature of claim 20.

Claim 21

Claim 21 requires that the *light shield film has an aperture defined therein*. Fig. 5 of Shiratsuki fails to disclose or suggest this feature of claim 21.


Conclusion

It is respectfully requested that all rejections be withdrawn. All claims are now in condition for allowance. If any minor matter remains to be resolved, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned with regard to the same.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By:



Joseph A. Rhoa
Reg. No. 37,515

JAR:caj
901 North Glebe Road; 11th Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1808
Telephone: (703) 816-4000
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100